Paper 28

Title: From institutional policy to individual practice: using

learning technologies to develop and assess essential

learning skills

Presenter: Sarra Powell

University of Liverpool

Abstract:

Session Learning Outcomes

By the end of this session, delegates will be able to:

Reflect on the processes involved in translating institutional policy into best pedagogical practice within a technology enhanced context; Evaluate the use of technologically enhanced learning tools; Consider these in context of their own HEIs.

Session Outline

Key issues to be addressed are:

This paper will reflect and evaluate on the processes and rationale of translating institutional strategies and policies into best practice within a technology enhanced learning context. Using the development of 'iLearn', the University of Liverpool's online diagnostic and interactive learning skills coaching tool, this paper will illustrate the ways in which institutional drivers can effectively inform the design and application of bespoke learning technologies whilst incorporating best pedagogical practice such as Bigg's (2006) concept of constructive alignment, task-authenticity, subject specific relevance (Reeves et al, 2002;), the development of learner criticality and self-refection (Allen and Clarke, 2007; Wingate, 2006) and principles of effective feedback (Gibbs and Simpson, 2004; Sadler, 1983). Consideration will be given to how technology enhanced learning innovations (as described by JISC's LLiDA project, Beetham et al, 2009), particularly when informed by institutional collaboration and a robust pedagogical evidence base, can be used to support the development of essential learning skills. To this end, the rational, functionality and efficacy of 'iLearn' as an online coaching and assessment tool to will be evaluated.

Session Activities and Approximate Timings

The session will be structured as a 25 minute paper (supported by Powerpoint illustrations and reference lists) and will be followed by a 20 minute participant discussion, which will be chaired by the presenter. A flip chart will be used to capture participant contributions and identify common threads. The discussion will be focussed on the following:

In what ways might your institution's strategies and policies be used to support collaboration in the use of technologies?

What are meaningful ways of evaluating the efficacy of online coaching and skills development tools?

References

Allen J and Clarke K, (2007) Nurturing supportive learning environments in Higher Education through the teaching of study skills: to embed or not to embed? International Journal of Teaching and Learning in Higher Education, 19 (1), pp.64-67, http://www.isetl.org/ijtlhe [accessed 21/01/2011]

Beetham H, McGill L, and LittleJohn A, (2009) Thriving in the 21st Century: Learning Literacies and the Digital Age (LLiDA project), The Caledonian Academy, Glasgow Caledonian University,

http://www.jisc.ac.uk/media/documents/projects/llidareportjune2009.pdf [accessed 10/02/2011]

Biggs J, (2006) Teaching for Quality Learning at University, OUP, Berkshire

Glover C, and Brown E, (2006) Written Feedback for Students: too much, too detailed or too incomprehensible to be effective? http://www.bioscience.heacademy.ac.uk/journal/vol7/beej-7-3.pdf [accessed 23/02/2011]

Reeves T. C, Herrington J, and Oliver R, (2002) Authentic activities and online learning, http://elrond.scam.ecu.edu.au/oliver/2002/Reeves.pdf [accessed 25/02/2011]

Wingate U, (2006) Doing away with Study Skills, Teaching in Higher Education, Vol 11 (4) pp. 417-469 http://basicskills.edublogs.org/files/2008/06/doing-20away-20with-20-27study-20skills-27.pdf [accessed 23/02/2011]